arXiv:1608.06106v1 [math.NT] 22 Aug 2016

TEST VECTORS FOR WALDSPURGER'S PERIOD INTEGRAL AND APPLICATION TO THE MASS EQUIDISTRIBUTION ON NONSPLIT TORUS

YUEKE HU

Abstract. In this paper we provide local test vector for Waldspurger's period integral, when the level of the representation π_v is sufficiently large compared to the level of the character Ω_v over quadratic extension, while allowing joint ramifications. The test vectors we shall use are variants of classical newforms, and the size of the resulting local integral is asymptotically the inverse of convexity bound for $L(\Pi \otimes \Omega, 1/2)$. Such test vectors are used to recover Gross-Prasad type test vectors. We also get vanishing result for local integral when using other test vectors. This phenomenon is used to prove the mass equidistribution of cuspidal newforms on nonsplit torus in depth aspect.

1. Introduction

In [17] Waldspurger studied the following period integral

$$\int_{Z_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{E}^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}}^*} F(e)\Omega(e)de. \tag{1.1}$$

where $F \in \pi$ is a cusp form on GL_2 and Ω is a character over a nonsplit quadratic extension $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$ such that $w_\pi \cdot \Omega|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^*} = 1$. This integral provides an element in the space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C})$, which might be trivial according to an epsilon value test by the work of Tunnell and Saito in [16] [14].

In [17] Waldspurger established a formula relating this integral to $L(\Pi \otimes \Omega, 1/2)$, the special value of twisted base change L-function for π. Later on, explicit versions of the formula with level structures have been used to study arithmetic, equidistribution, and subconvexity problems (see [3] [9] [10] [13] [19]). Most of the work are based on the test vectors studied by Gross and Prasad in [5], where they assumed that π, $\mathbb{E}$ and Ω have disjoint ramifications. In a recent paper [4] File, Martin and Pitale gave the local test vector either when locally $\mathbb{E}_v$ is split over $\mathbb{F}_v$, or when $\mathbb{E}_v$ is a field and $c(\Omega_v)$ is sufficiently large compared to $c(\pi_v)$.

In this paper we are mainly interested in providing test vector for nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_v^*}(\pi_v \otimes \Omega_v, \mathbb{C})$ and evaluating local integral in Waldspurger's formula under the opposite condition for the field extension case, that is when $c(\pi_v)$ is sufficiently large compared to $c(\Omega_v)$, while allowing $\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v$ also to be ramified.

1.1. Local integrals for different test vectors.

A particular interesting and challenging phenomenon in our setting is that when π_v is a supercuspidal representation, the epsilon value test for $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_v^*}(\pi_v \otimes \Omega_v, \mathbb{C})$ could fail. For example when $\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v$ is inert and $c(\pi) > 2c(\Omega)$, the space is nontrivial if and only if $c(\pi)$ is even. (See Lemma 4.4 and 4.8 for the story.) One would expect test vectors and resulting local integrals to properly reflect such differences.

We will search for local test vectors coming from the following pool

(*) (diagonal translates of newform) or twisted newforms (see Definition 2.17).

One reason to start with such test vectors is that they are more nature from a historical point of view and can be potentially more useful for applications. Also when π_v is a supercuspidal representation,

elements from (*) form a basis of π_v, which guarantees that our search will be successful as long as the epsilon value test doesn't fail.

The local results we get in this paper are actually threefold:

(i) Vanishing result for the local integral if we don't choose proper test vectors from the pool (*). See Proposition 3.1 in particular.

(ii) Nonvanishing results and size of the local integral for proper test vectors from (*). See Proposition 4.5, 4.7, 4.10 for supercuspidal representations and Proposition 5.3, 5.5 for induced representations.

(iii) Using test vector from (ii), we obtain test vectors on which $\mathbb{E}_v^*$ acts by the character Ω_v^{-1}. In addition such test vectors are invariant by principal congruence subgroup of depth roughly $c(\pi_v)/2$. See Corollary 4.6, 4.11, 5.4 and 5.6.

The result in (i) may seem irrelevant for our purpose. But it greatly narrows our choice of test vectors from (*). It also show evidence (though not a proof) on why we will not find test vector when the epsilon value test fails in some cases. Lastly, vanishing and decaying results for local integrals are actually very important to prove power saving for global period integrals. We will give more details in the next subsection.

For the nonvanishing results in (ii), we shall see that when $c(\pi_v) \to \infty$, the size of the local integral for our test vectors from (*) is asymptotically

$$\frac{1}{q^{c(\pi_v)/2}},$$

which is exactly the inverse of the convexity bound for $L(\Pi \otimes \Omega, 1/2)$. The phenomenon that the local integral for test vectors from (*) is of size

$$\frac{1}{\text{convexity bound}}$$

is already observed in [6] [7] [8] for Rankin-Selberg integral and triple product formula, and applied to subconvexity and equidistribution problems. We see another example of the general phenomenon here. We will not use this to prove subconvexity in our setting, as it is already known for twisted L-function in general.

We remark here that the author has given detailed descriptions for the local matrix coefficients of supercuspidal representations in [6] and [7]. These are however not enough to prove the nonvanishing results, which requires more knowledge on the special values of epsilon factors for different twists. So we shall use additional imput from compact induction theory to derive finer structures of supercupsidal representations. In particular we shall use the fact that when $p \neq 2$, the local supercuspidal representations are related to characters over a quadratic extension. As a result, the special value of epsilon factor can be written as a Gauss integral over quadratic extension (see Lemma 2.15). So we have assumed that $p \neq 2$ for results in Proposition 4.5, 4.7, 4.10.

Combining this paper with previous works and assuming $p \neq 2$, the only case where the test vector for Waldspurger's local integral is unknown is when π_v is a minimal supercuspidal representation and $c(\pi_v) = c(\pi_{\Omega_v})$, where π_{Ω_v} is the representation of GL_2 associated to Ω_v.

To get (iii) from (ii), one just need to do a weighted average using Ω_v. Actually if the epsilon value test doesn't fail, one can always find a test vector for nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_v^*}(\pi_v \otimes \Omega_v, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathbb{E}_v^*$ acts on it by Ω_v^{-1}. What is nontrivial is the additional invariance by a principal congruent subgroup (or its variant, see Definition 2.4) inherited from the test vector in (ii) using Lemma 2.5. In particular if we take $c(\Omega) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}_v$ to be inert, we will recover Gross and Prasad's

test vector in our setting. The depth of the principal congruent subgroup is asymptotically $c(\pi_v)/2$, which we believe is best possible.

We note that the local integral for test vector from (iii) is obviously 1 if the matrix coefficient and the Haar measure are properly normalized.

1.2. **Application to mass equidistribution of cusp forms on nonsplit torus.** The additional power saving in the local integral compared to the convexity bound was already noted in [12] and implicitly shown in [7]. It is generalized and applied to prove power saving for the global Rankin-Selberg integral and triple product formula in [8] and used to prove subconvexity bound in a very general setting.

In this paper we have observed similar behaviors, in particular the vanishing result in (i) for π_v of level $c(\pi_v) \geq 2$ (supposing that $w_\pi = 1$), and power saving result in Proposition 3.4 when $c(\pi_v) \leq 1$. We shall make a quick application of these results to the mass equidistribution of cusp forms on nonsplit torus in depth aspect.

In particular let f be an automorphic unitary cuspidal newform of finite conductor $N = q^c$ on GL_2, with L^2 norm being 1 and bounded archimedean components. Let $\mathbb{E}^*$ be a fixed nonsplit torus of GL_2. We shall show in Theorem 6.1 that the mass measure associated to f is equidistributed on $\mathbb{E}^*$ as $c \to \infty$, in the sense that for any test function φ on $\mathbb{E}^*$,

$$\int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} |f|^2(e)\varphi(e)de \to \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \varphi(e)de. \tag{1.2}$$

While similar equidistribution-of-restriction (called quantum ergodic restriction problem by some literatures) results have been established in eigenvalue aspect in various settings as in [2] [15] [18], the author believe that this paper is the first to prove the mass equidistribution of restriction to nonsplit torus in level aspect.

We shall briefly sketch a proof here. To prove the equidistribution result, it will suffice to test on characters Ω of $\mathbb{E}^*$. Using spectrum decomposition, we have

$$\int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} |f|^2(e)\Omega(e)de \tag{1.3}$$

$$= \sum_{\pi} \sum_{\text{basis of cusp forms } \varphi \in \pi} < |f|^2, \varphi > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \varphi(e)\Omega(e)de + < |f|^2, 1 > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \Omega(e)de + \int_E < |f|^2, E > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} E(e)\Omega(e)de.$$

We want to show that the main term comes from the constant term

$$< |f|^2, 1 > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \Omega(e)de.$$

So we need to prove power saving for cuspidal spectrum and continuous spectrum. For simplicity we will just look at the cuspidal spectrum. The vanishing result in (i) allow us to reduce the sum in cuspidal representation π to those such that $c(\pi) \ll c(\Omega)$, making the sum much shorter. Then the power saving either comes from the local integral for $\int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \varphi(e)\Omega(e)de$ established in this paper, or the power saving in the local integral for $< |f|^2, \varphi >$ established in [8] (and partially noted in [12] and [7]).

1.3. **Organization of the paper.** In Section 2 we will review basic notations and results. In particular we will quickly review the basic properties of compact induction theory for supercuspidal representations.

In Section 3 we will prove vanishing and decaying results for Waldspurger's local integral when we pick improper test vectors from (*).

In Section 4 we will prove the existence of the test vectors from (*) for supercuspidal representations when the epsilon value test doesn't fail. We will also estimate the size of the local integral for such test vectors, and show that Gross-Prasad type test vector exists as a corollary.

In Section 5 we will go through similar process for induced representations. The choice of test vector is motivated by [11].

In Section 6 we give a quick application to the mass equidistribution on torus which make use of (i) above and also power saving result in [8].

The author would like to thank Ameya Pitale for suggesting this problem, and Max-Planck Institute for Mathematics for support as most of the work is done during his visit there.

2. Notations and previous results

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a number field and $\mathbb{F}_v$ be the corresponding local field of $\mathbb{F}$ at a place v. Let O_v be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{F}_v$ and ϖ_v be a local uniformizer. Let $q = |\varpi_v|_v^{-1}$.

Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a quadratic extension over $\mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{D})$ for an algebraic integer $D \in \mathbb{F}$. We fix an embedding $\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{F})$ as follows:

$$t = a + b\sqrt{D} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ bD & a \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

For simplicity we shall assume that $v(D) = 0$ if $\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v$ is inert and $v(D) = 1$ if $\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v$ is ramified. See Remark 2.20 for what happens in general.

Let $\mathbb{E}_v$ to be the completion of $\mathbb{E}$ with respect to v. When $\mathbb{E}_v$ is a field extension over $\mathbb{F}_v$, let $O_{\mathbb{E},v}$ be its ring of integers, and $\varpi_{\mathbb{E},v}$ be a local uniformizer of $\mathbb{E}_v$. Let $e = e(\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v)$ to be the ramification index of $\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v$.

For an additive character ψ_v over a local field $\mathbb{F}_v$, its level $c(\psi)$ is the least integer such that ψ_v is trivial on $\varpi_v^{c(\psi)}O_v$. We shall fix ψ_v to be unramified (or level 0), and $\psi_{\mathbb{E},v} = \psi_v \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v}$. Then $\psi_{\mathbb{E},v}$ as a function on $\mathbb{E}_v$ is of level $c_{\mathbb{E}_v}(\psi_{\mathbb{E},v}) = ec(\psi_v) - e + 1$. In particular $c_{\mathbb{E}_v}(\psi_{\mathbb{E},v}) = 0$ if $\mathbb{E}_v$ is an inert extension and $c_{\mathbb{E}_v}(\psi_{\mathbb{E},v}) = -1$ if $\mathbb{E}_v$ is a ramified extension.

For χ being a multiplicative character of O_v^*, its level $c(\chi)$ is the least integer such that χ is trivial on $1 + \varpi_v^{c(\chi)}O_v$. When χ is trivial on O_v^*, we say it's unramified or level 0. We denote by $\chi_{\mathbb{E}}$ the character of $O_{\mathbb{E},v}^*$ defined by $\chi \circ N_{\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v}$. Similarly $c_{\mathbb{E}_v}(\chi_{\mathbb{E}}) = ec(\chi) - e + 1$.

For all characters χ of O_F^*, we extend them to be characters on $\mathbb{F}^*$ by requiring that $\chi(\varpi) = 1$ for the fixed uniformizer. For such characters, we denote $\int_{v(x)=-j} \psi(x)\chi(x)d^*x$ simply by

$$\int_{-j} \psi\chi.$$

When $2 \nmid q$, we denote $(\frac{\cdot}{q})$ to be the unique nontrivial quadratic character of O_v^*.

Let $\lfloor a \rfloor$ be the largest integer which is smaller than a. Let $\lceil a \rceil$ be the least integer which is greater than a.

When $\mathbb{E}_v/\mathbb{F}_v$ is a field extension, we shall normalize the local Haar measure on $\mathbb{F}_v^*\backslash\mathbb{E}_v^*$ such that $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{F}_v^*\backslash\mathbb{E}_v^*) = 1$

2.1. **Theorem of Tunnell-Saito and Waldspurger's formula.** Let π be an automorphic cuspidal representation of GL_2 over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a division algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ and let σ be an automorphic representation of $\mathbb{B}^*$ whose Jacquet-Langlands image is π. Note that we allow $\mathbb{B} = M_2(\mathbb{F})$ and $\sigma = \pi$. Let $F \in \sigma$. We shall also fix a embedding $\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ in general, not to be specified here. Let Ω be a Hecke character over $\mathbb{E}$ such that $\Omega|_{\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{F}^*} \cdot w_\pi = 1$. We consider the following global integral

$$\int_{Z_\mathbb{A}\mathbb{E}^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{B}^*} F(e)\Omega(e)de. \tag{2.2}$$

This period integral actually gives an element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{B}^*}(\sigma\otimes\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. But it's not necessary that this space is non-zero. The local obstruction for the global period integral to be nonzero is described by an epsilon value test.

Let the Hasse invariant $\epsilon(\mathbb{B}_v)$ of a local quaternion algebra $\mathbb{B}_v$ be 1 if $\mathbb{B}_v \simeq M_2(\mathbb{F}_v)$, and -1 if it's a division algebra. Let Π be the base change of π to $\mathbb{E}$. The following theorem is due to Tunnell and Saito ([14] [16]).

Theorem 2.1. *The space* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_v^*}(\sigma_v \otimes \Omega_v, \mathbb{C})$ *is at most one-dimensional. It is nonzero if and only if*

$$\epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_v \otimes \Omega_v) = \Omega_v(-1)\epsilon(\mathbb{B}_v). \tag{2.3}$$

When there is no local obstruction, Waldspurger proved the following result in [17]

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $F_1 \in \sigma$ *and* $F_2 \in \hat{\sigma}$. *Then*

$$\frac{\int_{Z_\mathbb{A}\mathbb{E}^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{B}^*} F_1(e)\Omega(e)de \int_{Z_\mathbb{A}\mathbb{E}^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{B}^*} F_2(e)\Omega^{-1}(e)de}{(F_1, F_2)} = \frac{\zeta(2)L(\Pi\otimes\Omega, 1/2)}{2L(\pi, Ad, 1)} \prod_v P_v^0. \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$P_v^0 = \frac{L_v(\pi, Ad, 1)L_v(\eta, 1)}{\zeta_v(2)L_v(\Pi\otimes\Omega^{-1}, 1/2)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{F}_v^*\backslash\mathbb{E}_v^*} < \sigma_v(e)F_{1,v}, F_{2,v} > \Omega_v(e)de}{< F_{1,v}, F_{2,v} >}. \tag{2.5}$$

We will mainly be interested in the case when $\mathbb{B} = M_2(\mathbb{F})$.

In the following we shall denote $I(\Phi_v, \Omega_v)$ to be the local integral in Waldspurger's formula:

$$I(\Phi_v, \Omega_v) = \int_{\mathbb{F}_v^*\backslash\mathbb{E}_v^*} \Phi_v(e)\Omega_v(e)de = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where Φ_v is the matrix coefficient associated to elements of π_v (to be specified later on) and always normalized such that $\Phi_v(1) = 1$.

2.2. **Local integrals.** From this section on we will mainly work locally so we shall omit most of sub-index v without confusion.

Lemma 2.3. *For every positive integer c,*

$$GL_2(\mathbb{F}) = \coprod_{0\le i\le c} B\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_0(\varpi^c).$$

Here B is the Borel subgroup of GL_2.

Definition 2.4. For $k \geq 1$, let $K_0(\varpi^k)$ denote following the compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(O_v)$

$$\{g \in \begin{pmatrix} O_v^* & O_v \\ \varpi^k O_v & O_v^* \end{pmatrix}\}.$$

Let $K_1^1(\varpi^k, \varpi^k)$ denote the compact subgroup

$$\{g \in \begin{pmatrix} 1+\varpi^k O_v & \varpi^k O_v \\ \varpi^k O_v & 1+\varpi^k O_v \end{pmatrix}\}.$$

Let $K_1^1(\varpi^{k+1}, \varpi^k)$ denote the compact subgroup

$$\{g \in \begin{pmatrix} 1+\varpi^k O_v & \varpi^k O_v \\ \varpi^{k+1} O_v & 1+\varpi^k O_v \end{pmatrix}\}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *If $t \in \mathbb{E}^*$ is an element from inert quadratic extension where $v(D) = 0$, then*

$$t^{-1} K_1^1(\varpi^k, \varpi^k) t = K_1^1(\varpi^k, \varpi^k).$$

Similarly if $t \in \mathbb{E}^*$ is an element from ramified quadratic extension where $v(D) = 1$, then

$$t^{-1} K_1^1(\varpi^{k+1}, \varpi^k) t = K_1^1(\varpi^{k+1}, \varpi^k).$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $m \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v(m) = -j < 0$, and μ be a character of O_v^* of level $k > 0$. Then*

$$|\int_{v(x)=0} \psi(mx)\mu^{-1}(x) d^*x| = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{q}{(q-1)^2 q^{k-1}}}, & \text{if } j = k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let χ be a multiplicative character of O_v^* of level j and $v(b) \geq 0$. Suppose that $p \neq 2$. Then $\chi(\frac{b^2 D}{1-b^2 D})$ is still of level j in b, unless $\chi = (\frac{\cdot}{q})$ is the unique character of order 2;*

Definition 2.8. Let χ be a character of O_v^* such that $c(\chi) \geq 2$. Then there exists a unit α_χ associated to χ such that

$$\chi(1+x) = \psi(\frac{\alpha_\chi}{\varpi^{c(\chi)}} x) \tag{2.8}$$

for any $x \in \varpi^{\lceil \frac{c(\chi)}{2} \rceil} O_v$.

Lemma 2.9. *α_χ is unique up to $\varpi^{\lfloor \frac{c(\chi)}{2} \rfloor}$. The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\textit{level } k \textit{ characters}\} &\to O_v^*/1 + \varpi^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} O_v \\ \chi &\mapsto \alpha_\chi \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

is surjective.

Proof. $\alpha_{\chi_1} \equiv \alpha_{\chi_2}$ if and only if $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}$ of level $\leq \lceil \frac{c(\chi)}{2} \rceil$. Then one just need to do a simple counting. □

Lemma 2.10. *Let χ, ν be two multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}^*$ extended from O_v^*, such that $c(\chi) \geq 2c(\nu)$. Let α_χ be the constant associated to χ as above. Then*

$$\int_{-c(\chi)} \chi \nu \psi = \nu(-\frac{\alpha_\chi}{\varpi^{c(\chi)}}) \int_{-c(\chi)} \chi \psi. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Use stationary phase analysis. □

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$ be a quadratic field extension with ramification index e. Let χ be a character of O_v^* of level $c(\chi) \geq 2$ associated to a constant α_χ. Recall that $\chi_{\mathbb{E}}$ is of level $ec(\chi) - e + 1$, $\psi_{\mathbb{E}}$ is of level $ec(\psi) - e + 1 = 1 - e$. Then*

$$\chi_E(1+x) = \psi_{\mathbb{E}}(\frac{\alpha_\chi}{\varpi^{c(\chi)}}x) \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x \in \varpi_{\mathbb{E}}^{\lceil \frac{ec(\chi)-e+2}{2} \rceil} O_{\mathbb{E}}$

Proof. For $x \in \varpi_{\mathbb{E}}^{\lceil \frac{ec(\chi)-e+2}{2} \rceil} O_{\mathbb{E}}$, we have

$$\chi_{\mathbb{E}}(1+x) = \chi(1+\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}}(x)) = \psi(\frac{\alpha_\chi}{\varpi^{c(\chi)}}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}}(t)) = \psi_{\mathbb{E}}(\frac{\alpha_\chi}{\varpi^{c(\chi)}}x). \tag{2.12}$$

□

2.3. **supercuspidal representations.** We will work purely locally for this section so we shall remove v from sub-index without confusion.

2.3.1. *Kirillov model.* Let π be a supercuspidal representation over $\mathbb{F}$, with central character w_π. Its Kirillov model can be realized on $S(\mathbb{F}^*)$ such that

$$\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & m \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix})\varphi(x) = w_\pi(a_2)\psi(ma_2^{-1}x)\varphi(a_1a_2^{-1}x), \tag{2.13}$$

A basis of this representation can be given by

$$\mathbf{1}_{\nu,n}(x) = \begin{cases} \nu(u), & \text{if } x = u\varpi^n \text{ for } u \in O_F^*; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

The action of $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on this basis is given by

$$\pi(\omega)\mathbf{1}_{\nu,n} = C_{\nu w_0^{-1}} z_0^{-n} \mathbf{1}_{\nu^{-1}w_0,-n+n_{\nu w_0^{-1}}}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $z_0 = w_\pi(\varpi)$ and $w_0 = w_\pi|_{O_F^*}$. Recall that $n_\nu \leq -2$ and $c = -n_1$.

The relation $\omega^2 = -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ implies that

$$n_{\nu^{-1}} = n_{\nu w_0^{-1}}, \;\; C_{\nu^{-1}} C_{\nu w_0^{-1}} = w_0(-1) z_0^{n_{\nu^{-1}}}. \tag{2.16}$$

The newform in supercuspidal representation is simply $\mathbf{1}_{1,0}$.

The numbers C_ν and n_ν can be related to epsilon factor and level of twisted representations. For simplicity we fix a uniformizer ϖ and extend ν be a character of F^* by requiring $\nu(\varpi) = 1$. The action above can be equivalently formulated as

$$\pi(\omega)\mathbf{1}_{\nu,n} = \epsilon(\pi \otimes \nu^{-1}, \psi, 1/2) z_0^{-c(\pi\otimes\nu^{-1})-n} \mathbf{1}_{w_0\nu^{-1},-c(\pi\otimes\nu^{-1})-n},$$

that is,

$$C_{\nu w_0^{-1}} = \epsilon(\pi \otimes \nu^{-1}, \psi, 1/2) z_0^{-c(\pi\otimes\nu^{-1})}, n_{\nu w_0^{-1}} = -c(\pi \otimes \nu^{-1}). \tag{2.17}$$

The constant n_ν is easier to describe. In particular when $w_\pi = 1$ and ν is of level i, $n_\nu = -\max\{c(\pi), 2i\}$. (See for example [6].)

Let

$$\Phi(g) = < \pi(g)\varphi, \varphi > \tag{2.18}$$

be the matrix coefficient associated to the newform φ. It is right $K_0(\varpi^c)-$invariant. By Lemma 2.3, to understand $\Phi(g)$, it will be enough to understand $\Phi(\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix})$ for $0 \le i \le c$. For the following we shall denote

$$\Phi^{(i)}(a,m) = \Phi(\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Remark 2.12. Note that for fixed valuation for a and m, $\Phi^{(i)}(a,m)$ only depends on $\frac{m}{a}$, as Φ is actually bi-$K_0(\varpi^c)$ invariant. So we can think of it as a one-parameter function and talk about its levels.

Proposition 2.13. *Let Φ be the matrix coefficient associated to the newform of a minimal supercuspidal representation.*

(i) *For $c-1 \le i \le c$, $\Phi^{(i)}(a,m)$ is supported on $v(a)=0$ and $v(m) \ge -1$. On the support, we have*

$$\Phi^{(i)}(a,m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v(m) \ge 0 \text{ and } i = c; \\ -\frac{1}{q-1}, & \text{if } v(m) = -1 \text{ and } i = c; \\ -\frac{1}{q-1}, & \text{if } v(m) \ge 0 \text{ and } i = c-1. \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

When $v(a)=0$, $v(m)=-1$ and $i = c-1 > 1$, $\Phi^{(c-1)}(a,m)$ consists of level 1 and level 0 components.

(ii) *For $0 \le i < c-1$, $\Phi^{(i)}(a,m)$ is supported on $v(a) = \min\{0, 2i-c\}$, $v(m) = i-c$, consisting of level $c-i$ components.*

Remark 2.14. See [6] for more general setting. When π is an induced representation from two ramified characters of same level or non-minimal supercuspidal representation, we have essentially same conclusion, except that $\Phi^{(i)}(a,m)$ could be supported on $v(a) \ge 0$ when $i = c(\pi)/2$.

This information is however not enough for the purpose of this paper, and we need input from compact induction theory to tell us about C_ν.

2.3.2. *Compact induction theory and epsilon factors.* It was shown in [1] that all supercuspidal representations can be constructed as an induced representation from a representation of a compact subgroup of GL_2. The results here are directly taken from [1], though we use a different convention for the levels from that of [1] and readers should be aware of this.

In short, when $p \ne 2$, supercuspidal representations are related to character θ defined over a quadratic field extension. When $\pi = \pi_\theta$ is of level ϖ^{2k}, θ is level $\varpi_{\mathbb{E}}^k$ over an inert quadratic extension $\mathbb{E}$; When π_θ is of level ϖ^{2k+1}, θ is of level ϖ_E^{2k} over a ramified quadratic extension $\mathbb{E}$.

Further this association satisfies:

(1) $w_{\pi_\theta} = \theta|_{F^*}$.
(2) $\pi_{\hat{\theta}} = \hat{\pi}_\theta$.
(3) if η is a character of F^*, then $\pi_{\chi\eta_E} = \eta\pi_\chi$, where $\eta_E = \eta \circ N_{E/F}$.

The supercuspidal representation π is called minimal if its level is minimal among twists. In particular the supercuspidal representations with trivial central characters are minimal. When π_χ is minimal, there is a simple relation between the datum. If $c(\pi)$ is odd, then E/F is ramified and χ is of level $c(\pi)-1$; If $c(\pi)$ is even, then E/F is unramified and χ is of level $c(\pi)/2$.

Now we introduce the basic lemma on the epsilon factors for supercuspidal representations when $p \ne 3$.

Lemma 2.15. *Let θ be of level n over a quadratic local field extension $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$ with ramification index e. Let $\pi = \pi_\theta$ be the associated supercuspidal representation. Suppose that π is minimal. Denote $q_\mathbb{E}$ to be the order of residue field for $\mathbb{E}$ so that $q_\mathbb{E} = q^{2/e}$. Let η be a character of F^* with level m such that the level of η_E satisfies $em - e + 1 \leq c(\theta)$, then*

$$\epsilon(\pi \otimes \eta, 1/2, \psi) = (-1)^{e(E/F)n} \frac{q_\mathbb{E} - 1}{q_\mathbb{E}} \sqrt{q_\mathbb{E}^n} \int_{E^*} (\theta\eta_E)^{-1}(x)\psi_E(x)d^*x. \tag{2.20}$$

Corollary 2.16. *Suppose that $\pi = \pi_\theta$ is a minimal supercuspidal representation associated to a character θ defined over a quadratic field extension $\mathbb{E}$ with ramification index e. Let α_θ be the constant associated to θ as in Definition 2.8. Let η and ν be multiplicative characters over $\mathbb{F}$. Assume that $ec(\nu) - e + 1 \leq c(\theta)/2$, $ec(\eta) - e + 1 \leq c(\theta)$, and let α_η be the constant associated to η. Then*

$$\frac{C_{\nu\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}} = \nu_\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\alpha_\theta + \alpha_\eta \varpi_\mathbb{E}^{c(\theta)+e-1}\varpi^{-c(\eta)}}{\varpi_\mathbb{E}^{c(\theta)+e-1}}\right) \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. By previous lemma,

$$\frac{C_{\nu\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}} = \frac{\epsilon(\pi_\theta \otimes \nu^{-1}\eta, 1/2, \psi)}{\epsilon(\pi_\theta \otimes \eta, 1/2, \psi)} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{E}^*} \theta^{-1}(\nu\eta^{-1})_\mathbb{E}\psi_E}{\int_{\mathbb{E}^*} \theta^{-1}\eta_\mathbb{E}^{-1}\psi_E} \tag{2.22}$$

Note that by definition and Lemma 2.11

$$\theta^{-1}\eta_\mathbb{E}^{-1}(1+x) = \psi_\mathbb{E}\left(-\frac{\alpha_\theta}{\varpi_\mathbb{E}^{c(\theta)+e-1}}x\right)\psi_\mathbb{E}\left(-\frac{\alpha_\eta}{\varpi^{c(\eta)}}x\right) = \psi_\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{-\alpha_\theta - \alpha_\eta\varpi_\mathbb{E}^{c(\theta)+e-1}\varpi^{-c(\eta)}}{\varpi_\mathbb{E}^{c(\theta)+e-1}}x\right) \tag{2.23}$$

for $x \in \varpi_\mathbb{E}^{\lceil \frac{c(\theta)}{2} \rceil} O_\mathbb{E}$. Note that since $ec(\eta) - e + 1 \leq c(\theta)$ and π_θ is minimal

$$-\alpha_\theta - \alpha_\eta\varpi_\mathbb{E}^{c(\theta)+e-1}\varpi^{-c(\eta)} \in O_\mathbb{E}^*.$$

Then we apply Lemma 2.10 to get

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{E}^*} \theta^{-1}(\nu\eta^{-1})_\mathbb{E}\psi_E}{\int_{\mathbb{E}^*} \theta^{-1}\eta_\mathbb{E}^{-1}\psi_E} = \frac{\int_{-(c(\theta)+e-1)} \theta^{-1}(\nu\eta^{-1})_\mathbb{E}\psi_E}{\int_{-(c(\theta)+e-1)} \theta^{-1}\eta_\mathbb{E}^{-1}\psi_E} = \nu_\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\alpha_\theta + \alpha_\eta\varpi_\mathbb{E}^{c(\theta)+e-1}\varpi^{-c(\eta)}}{\varpi_\mathbb{E}^{c(\theta)+e-1}}\right). \tag{2.24}$$

□

2.4. **Twisted representation, local integral and matrix coefficient for twisted elements.** Let (π, V) be a local representation of GL_2 realized in the linear space V. For a multiplicative character χ, suppose that $\chi(\varpi) = 1$. We shall write $\chi(g)$ to mean $\chi(\det g)$. The representation $\pi' = \pi \otimes \chi$ can be realized in the same space, with the action

$$\pi'(g)v = \chi(g)\pi(g)v. \tag{2.25}$$

However we need to be more careful if we want to keep working with, for example, induced model or Whittaker model. Let ι_χ denote the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ind}(\chi_1, \chi_2) &\to \pi' = \mathrm{Ind}(\chi_1\chi, \chi_2\chi) \\ f &\mapsto f(g)\chi(g). \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Note that this is not with respect to group actions. We endow the image of ι_χ with the action of π by forcefully require ι_χ to be group homomorphism. Then one can easily see that

$$\pi'(g_0)\iota_\chi(f) = f(gg_0)\chi(gg_0) = \pi(g_0)\iota_\chi(f)\chi(g_0). \tag{2.27}$$

So the relation between π' and $\iota_\chi(\pi)$ agrees with the identification in 2.25.

Similarly for elements in Whittaker models or Kirillov models, we define the map ι_χ as

$$\begin{aligned} W &\mapsto W(g)\chi(g) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi(x)\chi(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Definition 2.17. In this paper we will care about elements in π which are images under ι_χ of newform in $\pi \otimes \chi^{-1}$. We call such elements twisted newforms.

Now we show how this twisting can be used for computing matrix coefficients and p-adic integrals.

Suppose that π is unitary, and $(\cdot,\cdot)_\pi$ is an invariant unitary pairing for π. For elements from Whittaker model, the pairing is

$$(W_1, W_2)_\pi = \int_{\mathbb{F}^*} W_1(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\overline{W_2}(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})d^*x. \tag{2.29}$$

Then one can easily check that

$$(\iota_\chi(W_1), \iota_\chi(W_2))_{\pi'} = (W_1, W_2)_\pi. \tag{2.30}$$

As a consequence, if we let $\Phi(g)$ be the matrix coefficient associated to W_1, W_2, and let Φ' be the matrix coefficient associated to $\iota_\chi(W_i)$, then

$$\Phi'(g) = \Phi(g)\chi(g). \tag{2.31}$$

Now with the same notations,

$$I(\Phi, \Omega) = \int_{\mathbb{F}^*\backslash\mathbb{E}^*} \Phi(e)\Omega(e)de = \int_{\mathbb{F}^*\backslash\mathbb{E}^*} \Phi(e)\chi(\det(e))\Omega\chi_{\mathbb{E}}^{-1}(e)de = I(\Phi', \Omega\chi_{\mathbb{E}}^{-1}). \tag{2.32}$$

This means that instead of looking for test vectors for the pair (π, Ω), we can solve the same problem for the pair $(\pi \otimes \chi, \Omega\chi_{\mathbb{E}}^{-1})$. In particular we can assume that π is a minimal representation.

Remark 2.18. Further for π being a supercuspidal representation and $p \neq 2$, we can assume that its central character is either unramified or level 1. Let α be the constant associated to the central character of π. Then by Lemma 2.9, there exists a character χ whose associated constant is $-\frac{\alpha}{2}$. As a result, the central character for $\pi \otimes \chi$ will have smaller level. Repeat this process for finite steps and one will get a central character of level ≤ 1.

2.5. **Iwasawa decomposition for conjugated torus.** Note that $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,-d} = \pi(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0}$. So if we know the matrix coefficient for $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0}$, we can just do a conjugation to get the matrix coefficient for $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,-d}$. For the local integral $I(\Phi, \Omega)$, this conjugation of matrix coefficient is equivalent to a conjugated embedding of $\mathbb{E}^*$ into GL_2 via a change of variable. This motivates the following consideration.

Suppose that $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{D})$ is embedded into the matrix algebra via

$$a + b\sqrt{D} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ bD & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $g = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$g^{-1}eg = \begin{pmatrix} a & b\varpi^{-d} \\ bD\varpi^d & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

For later use we study here the Iwasawa decomposition for this matrix.

Lemma 2.19. *If $v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) \geq 0$, then let $i = v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a})$ and*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b\varpi^{-d} \\ bD\varpi^d & a \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a^2-b^2D}{abD}\varpi^{i-d} & \frac{b}{a\varpi^d} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{bD}{a\varpi^{i-d}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.33}$$

If $v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) < 0$, then $i = 0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b\varpi^{-d} \\ bD\varpi^d & a \end{pmatrix} = bD\varpi^d \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a^2-b^2D}{b^2D^2\varpi^{2d}} & \frac{a}{bD\varpi^d} - \frac{a^2-b^2D}{b^2D^2\varpi^{2d}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 + \frac{a}{bD\varpi^d} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.34}$$

Remark 2.20. We assume from now on that $v(D) = 0$ if $\mathbb{E}$ is an inert extension and $v(D) = 1$ if $\mathbb{E}$ is a ramified extension. Otherwise one can do a conjugation to change the embedding. So if one change test vectors correspondingly, one will get exactly the same calculation for local integral.

3. Vanishing and decaying results for test vectors

In this section we will show that the local integral of Waldspurger's period integral will either be vanishing or quickly decay in size if we don't pick proper test vectors.

We first prove a vanishing result for most of test vectors when π is of large level.

Proposition 3.1. *Let π be a supercuspidal representation or induced representation defined by two ramified characters of same level, and $c(\pi) = 2k$ or $2k+1$. Let Ω be a character of $\mathbb{E}^*$ such that $\frac{2}{e}c(\Omega) < c(\pi)$. Let φ^0 be the newform for π and Φ be the matrix coefficient associated to $\pi(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\varphi^0$. Suppose that $v(D) = 0$ if $\mathbb{E}$ is an unramified extension and $v(D) = 1$ if it's ramified. Then if $d \neq k$,*

$$I(\Phi, \Omega) = \int_{\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \mathbb{E}^*} \Phi(e)\Omega(e)de = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let Φ^0 be the matrix coefficient associated to the newform of π, which is described in Proposition 2.13 and Remark 2.14. Then

$$I(\Phi, \Omega) = \int_{\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \mathbb{E}^*} \Phi^0(g^{-1}eg)\Omega(e)de = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for $g = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We shall compare the support of $\Phi^{0,(i)}(a, m)$ as in Proposition 2.13 with the Iwasawa decomposition of conjugated torus given in Lemma 2.19.

The first case is when $d > k$. If $v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) \geq 0$,

$$v(m) = v(\frac{b}{a\varpi^d}) = i - v(D) - 2d < i - c(\pi).$$

If $v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) < 0$,

$$v(m) = v(\frac{a}{bD\varpi^d} - \frac{a^2 - b^2D}{b^2D^2\varpi^{2d}}) = -v(D) - 2d < -c(\pi).$$

So the conjugated torus completely misses the support of matrix coefficient.

Consider the case $d < k$ now. Then we will get reversed inequalities like above. So the conjugated torus will miss the support except when $i = c(\pi)$ and $c(\pi) - 1$, where we know the value of Φ^0 very explicitly according to Proposition 2.13. Thus

$$I(\Phi, \Omega) = \int_{\mathbb{F}^* \setminus \{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) \geq c(\pi)\}} w_\pi(a)\Omega(a + b\sqrt{D})de + \int_{\mathbb{F}^* \setminus \{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) = c(\pi)-1\}} (-\frac{1}{q-1})w_\pi(a)\Omega(a + b\sqrt{D})de. \tag{3.3}$$

By the assumption on $c(\Omega)$, $w_\pi(a)\Omega(a + b\sqrt{D}) = 1$ on above domains. One can also check (including split extension) that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{F}^* \setminus \{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) = c(\pi) - 1\}) = (q-1)\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{F}^* \setminus \{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) \geq c(\pi)\}). \tag{3.4}$$

So $I(\Phi, \Omega) = 0$ as long as $d \neq k$. □

Remark 3.2. The argument for the case $d < k$ also works for the case $\frac{2}{e}c(\Omega) \geq c(\pi)$ if $d = -n$ for $n \geq c(\Omega)$. If one choose d properly such that Ω is trivial on $\{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) \geq c(\pi)\}$ but not trivial on $\{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) = c(\pi) - 1\}$, one will get test vector for $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C})$ when $c(\Omega)$ is sufficiently large.

Using (2.32), one can extend the vanishing results to more general selections of test vectors.

Remark 3.3. This result also gives evidence why we will not find test vector if, for example, $\mathbb{E}$ is inert extension and $c(\pi) = 2k + 1 > 2c(\Omega)$. This is because we will never be able to take d such that

$$v(m) = i - v(D) - 2d = i - c(\pi) \tag{3.5}$$

Next we prove a power saving result as we vary test vectors when π is of smaller level.

Proposition 3.4. *Let π be an unramified or special unramified representation. Let Ω be a fixed character of $\mathbb{E}^*$. Let φ^0 be the newform for π and Φ be the matrix coefficient associated to $\pi(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\varphi^0$. Then there exists a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that for $d = -n$,*

$$I(\Phi, \Omega) \ll q^{-\delta n} \tag{3.6}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. It's actually possible to figure out δ explicitly. For our application however, we will just show that such δ exists. This result essentially follows from the decay of matrix coefficient in general. For simplicity we only work with the case of unramified representation, whereas the case of special unramified representation case is similar and a little more complicated.

Recall that the matrix coefficient Φ^0 for a spherical element is bi-K invariant and we have the following decay of matrix coefficient

$$\Phi^0(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) \ll q^{(\alpha - 1/2 + \epsilon)|v(x)|} \tag{3.7}$$

Here α is a bound towards Ramanujan conjecture and we can take $\alpha = 7/64$. This formula is actually true for fixed general element in general representation. We shall fix a small ϵ and take $\delta_0 = -\alpha + 1/2 - \epsilon > 3/8$ so that

$$\Phi^0(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) \ll q^{-\delta_0 |v(x)|}. \tag{3.8}$$

Assume that $\mathbb{E}$ is a field extension first. Let Φ be the matrix coefficient associated to the test vector $\pi(g)\varphi^0$ for $g = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We can assume, with proper twisting like (2.32), that π and Ω are unitary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |I(\Phi, \Omega)| &\leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \mathbb{E}^*} |\Phi(e)| de \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \{v(b) - v(a) \geq n/2\}} |\Phi^0(g^{-1}(a + b\sqrt{D})g)| de + \int\limits_{\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \{v(b) - v(a) < n/2\}} |\Phi^0(g^{-1}(a + b\sqrt{D})g)| de. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

For the piece of integral over $\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \{v(b) - v(a) \geq n/2\}$, we use the trivial bound $|\Phi^0| \leq 1$ and

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \{v(b) - v(a) \geq n/2\}) \ll q^{-n/2}. \tag{3.10}$$

For the piece of integral over $\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \{v(b) - v(a) < n/2\}$, we use the trivial bound

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \{v(b) - v(a) < n/2\}) \leq 1, \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\Phi^0(g^{-1}(a + b\sqrt{D})g) \ll q^{-\delta_0 n}. \tag{3.12}$$

The latter inequality follows from (3.8) and

$$v(\frac{a^2 - b^2 D}{b^2 D^2 \varpi^{2d}}) = v(\frac{a^2 - b^2 D}{b^2 D^2} \varpi^{2n}) \geq n \tag{3.13}$$

in the second part of Lemma 2.19. Putting together, we have

$$|I(\Phi, \Omega)| \ll q^{-\delta_0 n}. \tag{3.14}$$

Let's consider the case when $\mathbb{E}$ is split over $\mathbb{F}$ now. In this case, we fix an element $\sqrt{D}$ in the local field $\mathbb{F}$ and assume without loss of generality that $v(D) = 0$. For

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \\ \sqrt{D} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.15}$$

we have

$$\gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ bD & a \end{pmatrix} \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a + b\sqrt{D} & 0 \\ 0 & a - b\sqrt{D} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.16}$$

Let $u = a + b\sqrt{D}$ and $v = a - b\sqrt{D}$. The Haar measure on the split torus is $d^*u d^*v$. The volume of $\mathbb{F}^* \backslash \mathbb{E}^*$ is not finite in this case. But as long as $a \not\equiv \pm b\sqrt{D}$, $v(u) = v(v)$ and the total volume of such pieces is bounded by 1. So we can apply exactly same argument as in the field extension case to control the integral on these pieces.

We assume that $a \equiv \pm b\sqrt{D}$ now. We can assume without loss of generality that $v(a) = v(b) = 0$. For any integer $j > 0$, each piece $a \in \pm b\sqrt{D} + \varpi^j O_{\mathbb{F}}$ has volume 1. But we will have more saving in the matrix coefficient as $j \to \infty$, as

$$v(\frac{a^2 - b^2 D}{b^2 D^2}\varpi^{2n}) \geq 2n + j, \;\; \Phi^0(g^{-1}(a + b\sqrt{D})g) \ll q^{-\delta_0(2n+j)}. \tag{3.17}$$

Then it's obvious that the sum over j and $\pm$ signs of the integrals is still controlled by $q^{-\delta_0 n}$. □

4. Test vectors and evaluation of Waldspurger's local integral on GL_2 side for supercuspidal representations

In this section we shall provide test vectors for a nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C})$, in the setting where π is supercuspidal with sufficiently large level compared to Ω. But instead of working abstractly in representation theory, we will directly evaluate Waldspurger's local integral on candidates of test vectors. We will show that we can always find test vector for Waldspurger's local integral, as long as $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is not trivial.

We will first find a test vector of form $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,d}$ in the Kirillov model. Note that for supercuspidal representations, vectors of form $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,d}$ provide a basis.

The second reason for working with such test vectors is that they can be easily identified as local component of certain globally well-defined automorphic forms. The formula for their matrix coefficient is also easier.

The last reason is that it somewhat simplifies the process to search for test vectors. Note that Waldpurger's local integral gives an element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\hat{\pi} \otimes \Omega^{-1}, \mathbb{C})$. So to get a nonvanishing result for Waldspurger's local integral means we are finding test vectors simultaneously for both $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\hat{\pi} \otimes \Omega^{-1}, \mathbb{C})$. This seems a more difficult task, but we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $w_\pi = \Omega|_{\mathbb{F}^*} = 1$. If there exists test vectors $\mathbf{1}_{\chi,n}, \mathbf{1}_{\eta,m} \in \pi$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{E}^*} < \pi(e)\mathbf{1}_{\chi,n}, \mathbf{1}_{\eta,m} > \Omega(e)de \neq 0, \tag{4.1}$$

then

$$J = \int_{\mathbb{E}^*} < \pi(e)\mathbf{1}_{\eta,m}, \mathbf{1}_{\chi,n} > \Omega(e)de \neq 0 \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.2. This lemma implies that if $\mathbf{1}_{\chi,n}$ is a test vector for $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C})$, it's automatically a test vector for $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi \otimes \Omega^{-1}, \mathbb{C})$. As a consequence, it would be enough to just test on matrix coefficient associated to the same vector, which is the case in Proposition 2.13 and 4.3. And if all matrix coefficients of this form fail, the Waldspurger's local integral will be trivial.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_{\mathbb{E}^*} < \mathbf{1}_{\eta,m}, \pi(e^{-1})\mathbf{1}_{\chi,n} > \Omega(e)de \\ &= \overline{\int_{\mathbb{E}^*} < \pi(e)\mathbf{1}_{\chi,n}, \mathbf{1}_{\eta,m} > \Omega(e^{-1})de} \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

By assumption, $\Omega(e)\Omega(\overline{e}) = \Omega(N_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}}(e)) = 1$, so $\Omega(e^{-1}) = \Omega(\overline{e})$. Then

$$J = \overline{\int_{\mathbb{E}^*} < \pi(\overline{e})\mathbf{1}_{\chi,n}, \mathbf{1}_{\eta,m} > \Omega(e)de}. \tag{4.4}$$

When $e = a + b\sqrt{D} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ bD & a \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\overline{e} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -bD & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$J = \overline{\int_{\mathbb{E}^*} < \pi(e)\pi(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\mathbf{1}_{\chi,n}, \pi(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\mathbf{1}_{\eta,m} > \Omega(e)de}. \tag{4.5}$$

Now note that $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts on $\mathbf{1}_{\chi,n}$ or $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,m}$ by a simple nonzero multiple. So the condition in the lemma directly implies the nonvanishing of J. □

4.1. **Matrix coefficient on torus.** We shall consider now the matrix coefficient for $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0}$. We will work with the case $c(\eta) \leq c(\pi)/2$ (which turns out to be enough). Let Φ_η denote the matrix coefficient associated to $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0}$. It's related to the matrix coefficient of a newform from twisted representation by (2.31).

We need to write down the value of Φ_η on conjugated torus more explicitly. Because of the vanishing result in Proposition 3.1, we shall pick $d = k$. According to Remark 2.18, we can assume w_π to be at most level 1. For simplicity of notations, however, we will assume w_π to be trivial in the following.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $c(\pi) = 2k$ or $2k+1$. Pick $d = k$. For $i = v(\frac{bD\varpi^k}{a}) \geq c/2$,*

$$\Phi_\eta(\begin{pmatrix} a & b\varpi^{-k} \\ bD\varpi^k & a \end{pmatrix}) = \sum_\chi \eta(\frac{a^2 - b^2D}{a^2})\chi(\frac{b^2D}{a^2 - b^2D})C_{\chi\eta^{-1}}C_\eta \int_{i-c} \psi^-\chi^{-1} \int_{i-c} \psi\chi^{-1} \tag{4.6}$$

where the sum is over level $c - i$ characters (and also level 0 character if $i = c - 1$).

For $i = v(\frac{bD\varpi^k}{a}) \leq c/2$,

$$\Phi_\eta(\begin{pmatrix} a & b\varpi^{-k} \\ bD\varpi^k & a \end{pmatrix}) = \sum_\chi C_{\eta\chi}\eta(\frac{a^2 - b^2D}{a^2}) \int_{-i} \psi\chi^{-1} \int_{v(\alpha)=0} \psi(\varpi^{i-c}\frac{a^2}{a^2 - b^2D}\alpha)\chi^{-1}\eta^{-2}(\alpha)d^*\alpha \tag{4.7}$$

$$= \sum_\chi (\eta\chi)(\frac{a^2}{a^2 - b^2D})C_{\eta\chi} \int_{-i} \psi\chi^{-1} \int_{-i} \psi\eta^{-2}\chi^{-1}, \tag{4.8}$$

where the sum is over level i characters (and also level 0 character if $i = 1$).

Proof. Suppose that $i \geq c/2$ first. In general we can define the matrix coefficient via

$$\Phi_\eta(g) = \int_{\mathbb{F}^*} \pi(g)\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0}\overline{\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0}}d^*x. \tag{4.9}$$

Note that for $a \in O_F^*$,

$$\pi(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0} = \eta(a)\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0}. \tag{4.10}$$

Combining Lemma 2.19, it would be enough to know the following

$$\Phi(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = \int_{v(x)=0} \psi(mx)\pi(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix})\mathbf{1}_{\eta,0}(x)\overline{\eta}(x)d^*x. \tag{4.11}$$

Then we just use that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\varpi^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.12}$$

and compute the action of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ step by step. (And use Fourier expansion for the action of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\varpi^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.) We shall skip the details here.

When $i \leq c/2$, the computations are very similar, except that we shall now use

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi^i & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-i} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-i} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

□

4.2. **inert extension.** Note that there are now two quadratic extension appearing: the field $\mathbb{E}$ on which Ω is defined, and $\mathbb{E}'$ together with a character θ which defines π. When $\mathbb{E}$ is unramified and $c(\pi)$ is even, $\mathbb{E}' = \mathbb{E}$. We shall first give a lemma telling us what to expect for local integral. It follows directly from the calculation in [16].

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that Ω is defined over an inert extension $\mathbb{E}$.*

(1) *If π is of level $2k+1$ and $c(\Omega) \leq k$, then $\epsilon(\Pi \otimes \Omega, 1/2) = -1$;*
(2) *If π is of level $2k$ and $c(\Omega) < k$, then $\epsilon(\Pi \otimes \Omega, 1/2) = 1$.*

Note that in this case $c(\pi_\Omega) = 2c(\Omega)$. From now on we assume that $c(\pi) = 2k$ is even.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that $2 \nmid q$. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}$ is inert, $k \geq 2$, $c(\pi) = 2k$ is even and $k > c(\Omega)$. Then there exists a test vector of form $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,-k}$ in the Kirillov model for $c(\eta) \leq k$ such that*

$$I \geq \frac{1}{(q^2-1)q^{k-2}}. \tag{4.14}$$

Note that when $k = 1$ and Ω is unramified, this is the case covered by Gross and Prasad's paper. Thus we assume $k \geq 2$.

One can easily do a weighted average for the test vector above and use Lemma 2.5 to get the following:

Corollary 4.6. *With the same conditions as above, there exists a non-trivial test vector for any nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C})$, such that it is invariant under $K_1^1(\varpi^k, \varpi^k)$, and $\mathbb{E}^*$ acts on it by the character Ω^{-1}.*

Proof. Recall in this setting we are picking

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $d = k$. Ω and θ are defined over the same inert extension $\mathbb{E}$. We shall pick $\varpi_{\mathbb{E}} = \varpi$ in this case.

To compute the integral, we use that both functions in the integral are invariant by $1 + \varpi^k O_{\mathbb{E}}$. This is obvious for Ω by assumption on the levels. For Φ, recall that Φ_η is invariant by $K_0(\varpi^{2k})$. So Φ is invariant by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_0(\varpi^{2k}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-k} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which contains $1 + \varpi^k O_{\mathbb{E}}$ under the standard embedding.

So

$$\begin{aligned} I = & \int_{O_{\mathbb{F}}^* \backslash O_{\mathbb{E}}^*} \Phi(e)\Omega(e)de \\ = & \frac{1}{(q+1)q^{k-1}} [\sum_{b \in \varpi O_{\mathbb{F}}/\varpi^k O_{\mathbb{F}}} \Phi_\eta(g^{-1}(1 + b\sqrt{D})g)\Omega(1 + b\sqrt{D}) \\ & + \sum_{a \in O_{\mathbb{F}}/\varpi^k O_{\mathbb{F}}} \Phi_\eta(g^{-1}(a + \sqrt{D})g)\Omega(a + \sqrt{D})]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

We shall organize the sum according to the valuation of a or b, and apply Lemma 2.19 and Proposition 4.3 repeatedly.

When $a = 1$ and $v(b) > 0$, we have $c/2 < i = v(b) + k \leq c$ in Lemma 2.19. In this case,

$$\Phi_\eta(g^{-1}(1 + b\sqrt{D})g) = \sum_\chi \eta(1 - b^2 D)\chi(\frac{b^2 D}{1 - b^2 D}) C_{\chi\eta^{-1}} C_\eta \int_{i-c} \psi^- \chi^{-1} \int_{i-c} \psi \chi^{-1}. \tag{4.16}$$

As functions in b for fixed $v(b)$, $\eta(1 - b^2 D)$ is of smaller level $c(\eta) - 2v(b)$, and $\chi(\frac{b^2 D}{1-b^2 D})$ is of level $c(\chi) = c - i = k - v(b)$, unless $\chi = (\frac{\cdot}{q})$. On the other hand $\Omega(1 + b\sqrt{D})$ is also of smaller level $c(\Omega) - v(b)$. So the sum in b would be zero unless χ is trivial or $(\frac{\cdot}{q})$.

In particular the nonzero contributions will come from $\chi = 1$ when $v(b) = k$, and $\chi = 1$ or $(\frac{\cdot}{q})$ when $v(b) = k - 1$. Both $\eta(1 - b^2 D)$ and $\Omega(1 + b\sqrt{D})$ would be trivial for these pieces. Then one can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = & \sum_{b \in \varpi O_{\mathbb{F}}/\varpi^k O_{\mathbb{F}}} \Phi_\eta(g^{-1}(1 + b\sqrt{D})g)\Omega(1 + b\sqrt{D}) \\ = & 1 + (q-1)[\frac{1}{(q-1)^2} + \left(\frac{D}{q}\right) C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}} C_\eta \frac{q}{(q-1)^2}] \\ = & \frac{q}{q-1}[1 - \frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}}]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Here we have used that $C_\eta C_{\eta^{-1}} = 1$ and Lemma 2.6.

Now fix $b = 1$ and $v(a) \geq 0$, and $0 \leq i = k - v(a) \leq k$. This time

$$\Phi_\eta(g^{-1}(a + \sqrt{D})g) = \sum_\chi (\eta\chi)(\frac{a^2}{a^2 - D}) C_{\eta\chi} \int_{-i} \psi(\eta\chi)^{-1}\eta \int_{-i} \psi(\eta\chi)^{-1}\eta^{-1} \tag{4.18}$$

The analysis is similar to the previous case. When $\eta = 1$, the nonzero contributions will come from $v(a) = k, \chi = 1$, and $v(a) = k-1, \chi = 1$ or $(\frac{\cdot}{q})$. Then

$$I_2 = \sum_{a \in O_{\mathbb{F}}/\varpi^k O_{\mathbb{F}}} \Phi_\eta(g^{-1}(a + \sqrt{D})g)\Omega(a + \sqrt{D}) \tag{4.19}$$
$$= \{C_1 + (q-1)[C_1 \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} + \left(\frac{-D}{q}\right) C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})} \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) \frac{q}{(q-1)^2}]\}\Omega(\sqrt{D})$$
$$= \frac{q}{q-1} C_1 \Omega(\sqrt{D})[1 - \frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})}}{C_1}].$$

Note that both $\Omega(\sqrt{D})$ and C_1 are of values ± 1.

When $0 < c(\eta) < k - c(\Omega)$, the nonzero contributions will come from $v(a) = k - c(\eta)$ and $\chi = \eta^{-1}$ or $\eta^{-1}(\frac{\cdot}{q})$, since Ω will be trivial. Then

$$I_2 = \frac{q}{(q-1)} \eta(-1)\Omega(\sqrt{D}) C_1 [1 - \frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})}}{C_1}] \tag{4.20}$$

When $c(\eta) \geq k - c(\Omega)$, the nonzero contributions will still come from $v(a) = k - c(\eta)$ and $i = c(\eta)$ since this is the only chance χ's will have same level as η, thus $\chi\eta$ can be of smaller level, matching the level of Ω. Then we shall write $\chi = \eta^{-1}\nu$, and only care about those ν's which are of level$\leq c(\Omega) - k + c(\eta)$. Then

$$I_2 = \sum_{\nu \text{ of level} \leq c(\Omega) - k + c(\eta)} \sum_{v(a) = k - c(\eta)} \nu(\frac{a^2}{a^2 - D})\Omega(a + \sqrt{D}) C_\nu \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta^{-1}. \tag{4.21}$$

Now if we write $\eta = \eta_0\eta_1$ where η_0 is level 1, we have

$$\int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta \cdot \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta^{-1} \tag{4.22}$$
$$= \eta_0(-\alpha_\eta) \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta_1 \cdot \eta_0^{-1}(\alpha_\eta) \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta_1^{-1}$$
$$= \eta_0(-1) \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta_1 \cdot \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta_1^{-1}. \tag{4.23}$$

Thus

$$I_2 = \eta_0(-1) \sum_{\nu \text{ of level} \leq c(\Omega) - k + c(\eta)} \sum_{v(a) = k - c(\eta)} \nu(\frac{a^2}{a^2 - D})\Omega(a + \sqrt{D}) C_\nu \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta_1 \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta_1^{-1}. \tag{4.24}$$

Note that while the sum in a and ν is very difficult to evaluate in general, we can anyway change η_0 independently to get whatever sign we want for the contribution of I_2.

Now we use the imput from compact induction theory. In particular by Corollary 2.16, we have that

$$\frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}} = \left(\frac{N_{\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}}(\frac{\alpha_\theta + \alpha_\eta \varpi^{c(\theta) - c(\eta)}}{\varpi^{c(\theta)}})}{q}\right) \tag{4.25}$$

Since $\theta|_{\mathbb{F}^*} = w_\pi = 1$, we can pick $\alpha_\theta = \alpha\sqrt{D}$ for some $\alpha \in O_\nu^*$. Recall we extend the character $(\frac{\cdot}{q})$ such that $\left(\frac{\varpi}{q}\right) = 1$. Then

$$\frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-\alpha^2 D}{q}\right) = -\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right), & \text{if } c(\eta) < c(\theta) \\ \left(\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha^2 D}{q}\right), & \text{if } c(\eta) = c(\theta) \end{cases} \tag{4.26}$$

So if $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = 1$, $I_1 \neq 0$ for smaller level η, and we can in particular pick η of level 1 such that $\eta(-1)C_1\Omega(\sqrt{D}) = 1$. Then the integral is

$$I(\Phi, \Omega) = \frac{4}{(q^2-1)q^{k-2}}. \tag{4.27}$$

Now if $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = -1$, there exists α_η such that $\left(\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha^2 D}{q}\right) = -1$. (This is because the norm map from the residue field $k_{\mathbb{E}^*}$ to $k_{\mathbb{F}^*}$ should send half points to nonsquares as the corresponding quadratic character is unramified.) So we can pick proper η_1 of level k such that $I_1 \neq 0$. Then we pick proper η_0 which doesn't affect α_η, such that I_2 will not cancel I_1 and at least half of I_1 will be left for I.

In any case, we find a nontrivial test vector $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,-k}$ for the local Waldspurger's period integral and get a lower bound for I. □

Note that we actually give explicit evaluation of local integral in (4.27) when $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = 1$. Now we suppose that $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = -1$. We shall evaulate I more explicitly under stronger condition, that is, $k \geq 2c(\Omega)$.

Proposition 4.7. *Assume that $2 \nmid q$. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}$ is unramified, $c(\pi) = 2k$ is even and $k \geq 2c(\Omega)$. Further suppose that $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = -1$. Then $I = 0$ if $c(\eta) < k$, and there exists a proper level k test vector $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,-k}$ such that the local integral*

$$I = \frac{1}{(q^2-1)q^{k-2}}\{2 + C_1\eta(-1)[\Omega(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 D}{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha_\theta^2 D}} + \sqrt{D}) + \Omega(-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 D}{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha_\theta^2 D}} + \sqrt{D}]\} \tag{4.28}$$

is nonvanishing and about size $\frac{1}{q^k}$.

Proof. We just compute I_2 directly. Note that with the new condition, ν is of level $\leq c(\Omega) - k + c(\eta) \leq c(\eta) - \frac{k}{2} \leq \frac{c(\eta)}{2} \leq \frac{k}{2}$. Now we start with (4.21) and apply Lemma 2.10 and Corollary 2.16. We will get

$$I_2 = \sum_{\nu \text{ of level} \leq c(\Omega)-k+c(\eta)} \sum_{\nu(a)=k-c(\eta)} \nu(\frac{a^2}{a^2-D})\Omega(a + \sqrt{D})C_\nu \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta^{-1}. \tag{4.29}$$

$$\int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\nu^{-1}\eta^{-1} = \nu(-\alpha_\eta^{-2}) \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\eta \int_{-c(\eta)} \psi\eta^{-1} = \nu(-\alpha_\eta^{-2})\eta(-1)\frac{q}{(q-1)^2 q^{c(\eta)-1}}, \tag{4.30}$$

and

$$C_\nu = C_1\nu(-\alpha_\theta^2 D). \tag{4.31}$$

So

$$I_2 = \frac{q}{(q-1)^2 q^{c(\eta)-1}} C_1\eta(-1) \sum_{\nu(a)=k-c(\eta)} \Omega(a + \sqrt{D}) \sum_{\nu \text{ of level} \leq c(\Omega)-k+c(\eta)} \nu(\frac{a^2\alpha_\eta^{-2}\alpha_\theta^2 D}{a^2 - D}). \tag{4.32}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\nu \text{ of level} \le c(\Omega)-k+c(\eta)} \nu(x) \tag{4.33}$$

is $(q-1)q^{c(\Omega)-k+c(\eta)-1}$ times the characteristic function of $1+\varpi^{c(\Omega)-k+c(\eta)}O_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$a^2\alpha_\eta^{-2}\alpha_\theta^2 D \equiv a^2 - D \tag{4.34}$$

has no solutions for fixed $\nu(a)$ as $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = -1$, unless $\nu(a) = 0$ and $c(\eta) = k$. On the other hand when $\nu(a) = 0$ and $c(\eta) = k$, there exists α_η such that $\left(\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha_\theta^2 D}{q}\right) = -1$, so there are exactly two solutions mod $\varpi^{c(\Omega)-k+c(\eta)}O_{\mathbb{F}}$

$$a \equiv \pm\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 D}{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha_\theta^2 D}}. \tag{4.35}$$

Recall that we are summing over $a \in O_{\mathbb{F}}/\varpi^k O_{\mathbb{F}}$, so we get

$$I_2 = \frac{q}{(q-1)}C_1\eta(-1)[\Omega(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 D}{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha_\theta^2 D}} + \sqrt{D}) + \Omega(-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 D}{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha_\theta^2 D}} + \sqrt{D}], \tag{4.36}$$

and

$$I = \frac{1}{(q^2-1)q^{k-2}}\{2 + C_1\eta(-1)[\Omega(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 D}{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha_\theta^2 D}} + \sqrt{D}) + \Omega(-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_\eta^2 D}{\alpha_\eta^2 - \alpha_\theta^2 D}} + \sqrt{D}]\}. \tag{4.37}$$

Now we can pick $\eta = \eta_0\eta_1$ properly such that I in not zero and about size $\frac{1}{q^k}$.

□

4.3. **ramified extension.** Now we assume $\mathbb{E}$ to be a ramified extension over $\mathbb{F}$. For simplicity we suppose that the uniformizer of $\mathbb{F}$ is chosen such that $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{\varpi})$ (so $D = \varpi$ in this case), and the uniformizer for $\mathbb{E}$ is chosen to be $\varpi_{\mathbb{E}} = \sqrt{D}$. The calculation in this case is similar to the inert case, so we shall mainly focus on the differences.

We first reformulate the results in [16] on the epsilon factor.

Lemma 4.8. (1) *Suppose that π is of level $2k$, and Ω is a character over ramified extension $\mathbb{E}$ such that $c_{\mathbb{E}}(\Omega) \le 2k-1$, then $\epsilon(\Pi \otimes \Omega, 1/2) = -1$;*

(2) *Suppose that π is of level $2k+1$, associated to a character θ over another ramified quadratic extension $\mathbb{E}'$ (could be different from $\mathbb{E}$) such that $c_{\mathbb{E}}(\Omega) < c_{\mathbb{E}'}(\theta) = 2k$. Let ξ be a unit such that $\varpi_{\mathbb{E}'}^2 = \xi\varpi$. Then $\epsilon(\Pi \otimes \Omega, 1/2) = 1$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-\xi}{q}\right) = 1$.*

Remark 4.9. When π is odd level, we have combined Proposition 2.9 and part (a) of Proposition 2.10 of [16], together with the simplifying assumption that $c_{\mathbb{E}}(\Omega) < c_{\mathbb{E}'}(\theta)$.

Proposition 4.10. *Assume that $2 \nmid q$. Suppose that π is a supercuspidal representation of level $2k+1$, associated to a character θ over another ramified quadratic extension $\mathbb{E}'$ with $\varpi_{\mathbb{E}'}^2 = \xi\varpi$, such that $\left(\frac{-\xi}{q}\right) = 1$.. Then there exists a proper test vector $\mathbf{1}_{\eta,-k}$ where η is of level at most 1, such that the associated local integral*

$$I(\Phi, \Omega) = \frac{2}{(q-1)q^{k-1}}.. \tag{4.38}$$

Corollary 4.11. *With the same conditions as above, there exists a non-trivial test vector for any nontrivial element in* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi\otimes\Omega,\mathbb{C})$*, such that it is invariant under* $K_1^1(\varpi^{k+1},\varpi^k)$*, and* $\mathbb{E}^*$ *acts on it by the character* Ω^{-1}.

Proof. In this case we shall pick $d = k$ for Lemma 2.19, and η is of level at most 1. Lemma 2.19 and Proposition 4.3 still hold while we should keep in mind that $v(D) = 1$. Then Φ_η would be invariant by $1+\varpi_{\mathbb{E}}^{2k}O_{\mathbb{E}}$, and so is Ω by the assumption on its level. Then as in the inert case, we can evaluate the local integral by the following finite sum:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\mathbb{F}^*\backslash\mathbb{E}^*} \Phi_\eta(e)\Omega(e)de \\ &= \frac{1}{2q^k}\Big[\sum_{b\in O_{\mathbb{F}}/\varpi^k O_{\mathbb{F}}} \Phi_\eta(g^{-1}(1+b\sqrt{\varpi})g)\Omega(1+b\sqrt{\varpi}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a\in\varpi O_{\mathbb{F}}/\varpi^{k+1}O_{\mathbb{F}}} \Phi_\eta(g^{-1}(a+\sqrt{\varpi})g)\Omega(a+\sqrt{\varpi})\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Here we have chosen the normalization such that $vol(O_{\mathbb{E}}^*) = 1$. Similarly as in the inert case, only a few terms will have contribution to the whole integral due to the assumption on the levels. In particular

$$I = \frac{1}{2q^k}(I_1 + I_2), \tag{4.40}$$

where

$$I_1 = 1 + (q-1)\Big[\frac{1}{(q-1)^2} + \left(\frac{D}{q}\right)C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}C_\eta\frac{q}{(q-1)^2}\Big] = \frac{q}{q-1}\Big[1+\frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}}\Big], \tag{4.41}$$

and

$$I_2 = \frac{q}{q-1}C_1\eta(-1)\Omega(\sqrt{D})\Big[1+\frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})}}{C_1}\Big] \tag{4.42}$$

for η at most level 1. Here we have used that $D = \varpi$ and $\left(\frac{\varpi}{q}\right) = 1$ by our convention to extend characters of $O_{\mathbb{F}}^*$ to be characters of $\mathbb{F}^*$.

Now we use the results from compact induction theory. Suppose $\pi = \pi_\theta$ where θ is a character over a possibly different ramified quadratic extension $\mathbb{E}'$. Let $\varpi_{\mathbb{E}'}$ be the local uniformizer for $\mathbb{E}'$. Then using Corollary 2.16, we have

$$\frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}} = \left(\frac{N_{\mathbb{E}'/\mathbb{F}}\big(\frac{\alpha_\theta+\alpha_\eta\varpi_{\mathbb{E}'}^{c(\theta)+e-1}\varpi^{-c(\eta)}}{\varpi_{\mathbb{E}'}^{c(\theta)+e-1}}\big)}{q}\right). \tag{4.43}$$

Since $2c(\eta)\le 2 < c(\theta)+e-1$ and $(\frac{\cdot}{q})$ is of level 1, we can ignore the contribution from α_η. Since $\theta|_{\mathbb{F}^*} = w_\pi = 1$, and

$$\theta(1+x) = \psi_{\mathbb{E}'}\big(\frac{\alpha_\theta}{\varpi_{\mathbb{E}'}^{c(\theta)+1}}x\big)$$

we can pick $\alpha_\theta \in O_v^*$. Recall $c(\theta) = 2k$ when $c(\pi_\theta) = 2k+1$. Then

$$\frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}} = \left(\frac{\frac{\alpha^2}{(-1)^{2k+1}\varpi_{\mathbb{E}'}^{4k+2}}}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{-(\xi\varpi)^{2k+1}}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{-\xi}{q}\right). \tag{4.44}$$

So by assumption on $\left(\frac{-\xi}{q}\right)$, we have $\frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}} = 1$. Now we choos η properly such that $C_1\eta(-1)\Omega(\sqrt{D}) = 1$. Then we have

$$I = \frac{2}{(q-1)q^{k-1}}. \tag{4.45}$$

□

Remark 4.12. An interesting difference for the ramified extension here is that we can't change the quotient $\frac{C_{(\frac{\cdot}{q})\eta^{-1}}}{C_{\eta^{-1}}}$ by choosing different η as in the unramified case. But then the condition for the nonvanishing of local integral match exactly the condition on epsilon factor.

5. Test vector in case of principal sereis representation

From now on we assume that π is a principal sereis representation. From (2.32) we can assume π to be minimal. In particular suppose without loss of generality that $\pi = \pi(1, \mu)$. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ in this case so we always expect to find a test vector. We shall evaluate Waldspurger's local integral directly just like supercuspidal representation case.

First recall from [6] that if π is of form $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, where μ_1 is unramified and μ_2 is ramified of level n. Then the level of π is n. In this case the new form is right $K_0(\varpi^n)-$invariant and supported on $BK_1(\varpi^n)$. Then we have the following result on the Whittaker functional associated to the newform:

Lemma 5.1. (1) *When $i = n$,*

$$W^{(i)}(\alpha) = W(\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \varpi^i & 1\end{pmatrix}) = \int_{v(m)\leq v(\alpha)-k} \mu_1(-\frac{\alpha}{m})\mu_2(-m)\psi(-m)q^{-\frac{1}{2}v(\alpha)+v(m)}dm \tag{5.1}$$

$$= \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{1}{2}v(\alpha)-n}\mu_1^{v(\alpha)+n}(\varpi)\int_{v(m)=-k}\mu_2(-m)\psi(-m)dm, & \text{if } v(\alpha)\geq 0,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

(2) *When $i < n$,*

$$W^{(i)}(\alpha) = \mu_1^i(\varpi)\int_{u\in O_{\mathbb{F}}}\mu_2(\alpha\varpi^{-i}(1-\varpi^{n-i}u))\psi(\alpha\varpi^{-i}(1-\varpi^{n-i}u))q^{-\frac{1}{2}v(\alpha)-n+i}du. \tag{5.2}$$

In particular

$$W^{(0)}(\alpha) = \begin{cases}\mu_2(\alpha)\psi(\alpha)q^{-\frac{1}{2}v(\alpha)-n}, & \text{if } v(\alpha)\geq -n\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

Note that we haven't normalize the Whittaker functional in this Lemma. One can compute associated matrix coefficient Φ^0 using the Whittaker functional W by

$$\Phi^0(\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & m\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \varpi^i & 1\end{pmatrix}) = \int_{v(x)\geq 0}\psi(mx)W^{(i)}(\alpha x)W^{(n)}(x)d^*x. \tag{5.4}$$

Corollary 5.2. *$\Phi^0(\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & m\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \varpi^i & 1\end{pmatrix})$ is supported at $v(\alpha) \le i-n$ for $0<i<n$. When $i=0$ and $v(m) \ge \min\{0, v(\alpha)+n\}$*

$$\Phi^0(\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & m-\alpha\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}) = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. The first statement follows from that $W^{(i)}(\alpha)$ for $0<i<n$ is supported only at $v(\alpha)=i-n$ for (5.2) to be nonzero. For the second statement, we just follow definition

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^0(\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & m-\alpha\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}) &= \int_{v(x)\ge 0} \psi((m-\alpha)x)W^{(0)}(\alpha x)W^{(n)}(x)d^*x \\ &= \int_{v(x)\ge \max\{0,-v(\alpha)-n\}} \psi((m-\alpha)x)\mu_2(\alpha x)\psi(\alpha x)q^{-\frac{1}{2}v(\alpha x)-n}W^{(n)}(x)d^*x \\ &= \int_{v(x)\ge \max\{0,-v(\alpha)-n\}} \psi(mx)\mu_2(\alpha x)q^{-\frac{1}{2}v(\alpha x)-n}W^{(n)}(x)d^*x.\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

As $v(m) \ge \min\{0, v(\alpha)+n\}$, $\psi(mx)=1$. Then the integral is zero as $\mu_2(\alpha x)$ is level n in x. □

5.1. Ω over inert field extension.

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that $\pi = \pi(1,\mu)$ for μ of level $2k$ or $2k+1$. Let φ^0 be the newform in π, Φ^0 be the matrix coefficent associated to φ^0 and Φ be the matrix coefficient associated to $\pi(\begin{pmatrix}\varpi^k & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})\varphi^0$. Let Ω be a character over an inert quadratic field extension $\mathbb{E}$, such that $v(D)=0$ and $c(\Omega) \le k$ or $k+1$.*

Then

$$I(\Phi,\Omega) = \frac{1}{(q+1)q^{k-1}} \text{ or } \frac{1}{(q+1)q^k}. \tag{5.7}$$

Corollary 5.4. *With the same conditions as above, there exists a non-trivial test vector for any nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi\otimes\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, such that it is invariant under $K_1^1(\varpi^k, \varpi^k)$ or $K_1^1(\varpi^{k+1}, \varpi^{k+1})$, and $\mathbb{E}^*$ acts on it by the character Ω^{-1}.*

Proof. $c(\pi) = 2k$ or $2k+1$.

$$I(\Phi,\Omega) = \int_{\mathbb{F}^*\setminus\{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a})\ge c(\pi)\}} \Phi(e)\Omega(e)de + \int_{\mathbb{F}^*\setminus\{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a})< c(\pi)\}} \Phi(e)\Omega(e)de. \tag{5.8}$$

When $v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) \ge c(\pi)$,

$$v(\frac{a^2-b^2D}{abD}\varpi^{i-d}) = v(a^2-b^2D) - 2v(a) = 0,\ v(\frac{b}{a\varpi^d}) \ge c(\pi) - 2d - v(D) \ge 0 \tag{5.9}$$

so $\Phi(e)\Omega(e)=1$ by the first part of Lemma 2.19 and the assumption on $c(\Omega)$. One can easily check that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{F}^*\setminus\{v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) \ge c(\pi)\}) = \frac{1}{(q+1)q^{k-1}} \text{ or } \frac{1}{(q+1)q^k}. \tag{5.10}$$

Now we show that the other parts of conjugated torus will completely miss the support of matrix coefficient.

When $0 < i = v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) < c(\pi)$, we have by Lemma 2.19

$$v(\frac{a^2 - b^2D}{abD}\varpi^{i-d}) = v(a^2 - b^2D) - 2v(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v(a) \leq v(b) \\ 2v(b) + v(D) - 2v(a), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.11}$$

On the other hand by Corollary 5.2 the matrix coefficient Φ^0 is supported at

$$v(\alpha) \leq i - c(\pi) = v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) - c(\pi). \tag{5.12}$$

One can check from (5.11) that

$$2v(b) + v(D) - 2v(a) > v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) - c(\pi) \tag{5.13}$$

when $v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) > 0$. So these parts of conjugated torus miss the support.

When $v(\frac{bD\varpi^d}{a}) \leq 0$, $i = 0$. By the second part of Lemma 2.19, we set

$$\alpha = \frac{a^2 - b^2D}{b^2D^2\varpi^{2d}},\ m = \frac{a}{bD\varpi^d} \tag{5.14}$$

for notations as in Corollary 5.2 and easily check that

$$v(m) = v(a) - v(b) - v(D) - d \geq 0. \tag{5.15}$$

So the value of the matrix coefficient is zero on this piece according to Corollary 5.2. □

5.2. Ω **over ramified field extension.** By almost the same computation, we have the following results

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that $\pi = \pi(1, \mu)$ for μ of level $2k$ or $2k-1$. Let φ^0 be the newform in π, Φ^0 be the matrix coefficent associated to φ^0 and Φ be the matrix coefficient associated to $\pi(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\varphi^0$. Let Ω be a character over a ramified quadratic field extension $\mathbb{E}$, such that $v(D) = 1$ and $c(\Omega) \leq 2c(\pi) - 2k + 1$.*

Then

$$I(\Phi, \Omega) = \frac{1}{2q^{c(\pi)-k}}. \tag{5.16}$$

Corollary 5.6. *With the same conditions as above, there exists a non-trivial test vector for any nontrivial element in* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}^*}(\pi \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C})$*, such that it is invariant under $K_1^1(\varpi^{k+1}, \varpi^k)$ or $K_1^1(\varpi^k, \varpi^{k-1})$, and $\mathbb{E}^*$ acts on it by the character Ω^{-1}.*

Remark 5.7. Essentially same calculations hold when we pick smaller d. In that case we will get smaller value for the local integral while we can allow larger $c(\Omega)$. In particular we can provide test vector in this way for arbitrary setting of $c(\pi)$ and $c(\Omega)$ in case of principal series representation.

6. Application to mass equidistribution on nonsplit torus

In this section we give a quick application of the results on local integral to mass equidistribution of a family of cusp forms on nonsplit torus. We shall go back to global notations.

Theorem 6.1. *Let f be an automorphic unitary cuspidal newform of finite conductor $N = q^c$ on GL_2, with L^2 norm being 1 and bounded archimedean components. Let $\mathbb{E}^*$ be a fixed nonsplit torus of GL_2. Then the mass measure associated to f is equidistributed on $\mathbb{E}^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}}^*$ as $c \to \infty$.*

Proof. Let Ω be an fixed eigen test function (that is, a character) on $\mathbb{E}^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{E}}^*$. Then the proof of the theorem amounts to show that

$$\int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} |f|^2(e)\Omega(e)de \to \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \Omega(e)de. \tag{6.1}$$

We do a spectrum decomposition for $|f|^2$ and get

(6.2)

$$\int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} |f|^2(e)\Omega(e)de$$
$$= \sum_{\text{cusp forms } \varphi} < |f|^2, \varphi > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \varphi(e)\Omega(e)de + < |f|^2, 1 > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \Omega(e)de + \int_E < |f|^2, E > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} E(e)\Omega(e)de.$$

Note that φ and E must have trivial central characters. The main term is the constant term

$$< |f|^2, 1 > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \Omega(e)de = \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \Omega(e)de$$

by normalization, which is exactly what we want. So we need to prove power saving in level aspect for both discrete spectrum and continuous spectrum. We only show the proof for discrete spectrum, while the proof for continuous spectrum is similar and easier.

We can further organize the sum in φ as follows

$$T_1 = \sum_{\pi, c(\pi)\le c} \sum_{\varphi\in\mathcal{B}(\pi,c)} < |f|^2, \varphi > \int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \varphi(e)\Omega(e)de. \tag{6.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{B}(\pi, N)$ is a basis of elements of π of level up to c. In particular we can pick the basis to consist of a newform φ^0 and diagonal translates $\pi(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\varphi^0$ for $0 < n \le c - c(\pi)$.

Using Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we can first reduce the sum in π to those such that $c(\pi) \ll c(\Omega)$, since when $c(\pi)$ is large enough, the local integral is zero for test vectors in $\mathcal{B}(\pi, N)$. As a result, the sum in π is short and it suffices to prove power saving in the sum over φ for each fixed π.

Note that the sum in φ has length at most $c+1 \ll q^{c\epsilon}$. For each individual term, the power saving comes either from the triple product period integral $< |f|^2, \varphi >$ or Waldspurger's period integral $\int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \varphi(e)\Omega(e)de$.

In particular if $\varphi = \pi(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\varphi^0$ for n large enough, we can get power saving for $\int_{[\mathbb{E}^*]} \varphi(e)\Omega(e)de$ by using the convexity bound for the L-functions in Theorem 2.2 and power saving or vanishing results for the local integrals by Proposition 3.4 and 3.1.

On the other hand if $\varphi = \pi(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\varphi^0$, for n small, we get power saving for $< |f|^2, \varphi >$ using the work in [8].

□

References

[1] C.Bushnell and G.Henniart, *The Local Langlands Conjecture for GL_2*,

[2] S. Dyatlov and M. Zworski, *Quantum ergodicity for restrictions to hypersurfaces*, Nonlinearity 26 (2013), no. 1, 35-52.

[3] B. Feigon and D. Whitehouse, *Averages of central L-values of Hilbert modular forms with an application to subconvexity*, Duke Math. J. 149 (2009), no. 2, 347-410,

[4] D.File, K.Martin and A.Pitale, *Test vectors and central L-values for GL_2*, arXiv:1310.1765.

[5] B.Gross and D. Prasad, *Test vectors for linear forms*, Math. Ann. 291 (1991), no.2, 343-355.

[6] Y.Hu, *The subconvexity bound for triple product L-function in level aspect*, accepted by Amer. J. Math. arXiv:1404.1636.

[7] Y.Hu, *Triple product formula and mass equidistribution on modular curves of level N*,arXiv:1409.8173. submitted.

[8] Y.Hu, P.Michel and P.Nelson, *Subconvexity bound of Rankin-Selberg L-function and triple product L-function*, in preparation.

[9] K. Martin and D. Whitehouse, *Central L-values and toric periods for GL*2, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 1 (2009), Art. ID rnn127, 141-191.

[10] P. Michel and A. Venkatesh, *Equidistribution, L-functions and ergodic theory: on some problems of Yu. Linnik*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 421-457, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[11] P.Nelson, *Microlocal lifts and quantum unique ergodicity on $GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$*, arXiv:1601.02528.

[12] P.Nelson, A.Pitale, A.Saha, *Bounds for Rankin-Selberg integrals and quantum unique ergodicity for powerful levels*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (2014), no. 1, 147-191.

[13] A. Popa, *Central values of Rankin L-series over real quadratic fields*, Compos. Math. 142(2006), no. 4, 811-866.

[14] H.Saito, *On Tunnell's formula for characters of GL*(2). Compositio Math. 85 (1993), no. 1, 99-108.

[15] J. Toth and S. Zelditch, *Quantum ergodic restriction theorems: manifolds without boundary*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 23 (2013), no. 2, 715-775.

[16] J.Tunnell, *Local $\epsilon-$factors and characters of GL*(2) Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), no. 6, 1277-1307.

[17] J.L.Waldspurger, *Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symétrie*. Compositio Mathematica, **54** (1985), 173-242

[18] M. Young, *The quantum unique ergodicity conjecture for thin sets*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1554.

[19] S. Zhang, *Gross-Zagier formula for GL2*, Asian J. Math. 5 (2001), no. 2, 183-290.

MPIM

E-mail address: huyueke2012@gmail.com